

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,385	04/13/2006	Marcus A. Horwitz	51326-00019	8534
45200 K&L Gates LI	7590 04/29/200 P	EXAMINER		
1900 MAIN S	TREET, SUITE 600		NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK	
IRVINE, CA	92614-7319		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/595,385	HORWITZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mark Navarro	1645		
	10/595,385 Examiner	10/595,385 HORWITZ ET AL. Examiner Art Unit	

	IVIAIR INAVAITO	1045						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of					
filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 		luoina or aimplifuina ti	no incuen for					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		.,,						
 Image: https://www.npps.action.com/single-states/single-sta								
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 27-30.32 and 41-46.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
	thefore on an the date of fire a plan							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:								
	/Mark Navarro/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1645						
	i fillary Examiner, Art O	III. 1040						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants asset on page 6 of their response that the protein referred to in the Orme reference "could only have been the 30 kba Ag558 (fbp3) protein and not the 32 kba Ag564 (fbpA) protein." However, Applicants arguments teach the limitations of the claim. Claim 27 recites a Mycobacterium tuberculosis 30 kba protein, this corresponds to Applicants arguments that Orme could only have been talking about the 30 kba protein. Furthermore, any attempt distinguish between the Ag565 and the Ag568 protein is irrelevant, since the claim does not recite any limitation concerning these different antigens, rather only a molecular weight. Applicants arguments for the 103 rejection are essentially the same, and have been addressed accordingly. Consequently, these rejections are maintained for reasons of record.